

Remarks

In the office action, the Examiner has rejected claims 34, 40 and 46-64 under 35 U.S.C §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. In addition, the Examiner has stated that the applicant's previous amendments overcame the prior art previously applied in the claim rejections.

The applicant has cancelled claims 34, 40 and 46-64 and has presented new claims 65-118 of which claims 65, 79, 89, 97 and 108 are in independent form.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C §112, first paragraph

The Examiner has rejected claims 34, 40 and 46-64 under 35 U.S.C §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Specifically, the Examiner states that the claim language directed to "forwarding the message body and information regarding the identity and types of the one or more message attachments to a wireless mobile data communications device" is not supported by the original disclosure. The applicant has cancelled claims 34, 40 and 46-64. In addition, the applicant has not included the claim language directed to "forwarding the message body and information regarding the identity and types of the one or more message attachments to a wireless mobile data communications device" in the present claims. Accordingly, the

applicant believes the basis for rejecting claims under 35 U.S.C §112, first paragraph has been overcome.

New claims

The applicant has presented new claims 65-118. Claim 65 reads as follows:

65. (New) A method of redirecting e-mail messages and message attachments to a user of a mobile data communication device that is associated with a host system and communicates therewith via a wireless gateway, the method comprising the steps of:

receiving a data item at the host system from a message sender, the data item being directed to an address associated with the user at the host system, the data item including an e-mail message and a message attachment;

redirecting at least a portion of the e-mail message from the host system to the mobile data communication device via the wireless gateway using a network address of the mobile data communication device;

receiving a first command message from the mobile data communication device at the host system to receive more of the data item; and

redirecting the message attachment from the host system to the mobile data communication device via the wireless gateway using the network address of the mobile data communication device in response to the first command message.

Independent claim 65 as well as independent claims 79, 89, 97 and 108 include subject matter similar to that of previously allowable claim 34 and that is not shown in the prior art previously applied in claim rejections. Specifically, each of the independent claims includes limitations directed to:

(1) wirelessly redirecting at least a portion of an e-mail message from a host system to a mobile data communication device having an address that is different from the address to which the e-mail was sent;

(2) receiving a command message from the mobile data communication device at the host system requesting that more of the data item (the e-mail messages and message attachment) be sent to the mobile data communication device; and

(3) wirelessly redirecting the message attachment from the host system to the mobile data communication device in response to the command message.

For at least these reasons, the applicant believes that the present claims are patentably distinguishable over the prior art previously applied in claim rejections.

Fee Statement

Compared to the initial filing, the number of independent claims has been reduced and the total number of claims has been increased by 18. Applicant is filling herewith, a Petition for a Three-Month Extension of Time and an Information Disclosure Statement. Form PTO-2038 is enclosed herewith authorizing payment of \$2,100.00 (\$180.00 for the filing the Information Disclosure

Statement, \$1,020.00 for a three-month extension of time and \$900.00 for the extra claims). Applicant believes no additional fees are due for the filing of this response. If any additional fees are due or any overpayments have been made, however, please charge or credit our deposit account (Deposit Account No. 03-1130).

Conclusion

In view of the forgoing, the Examiner is respectfully requested to allow claims 65-118 presented for consideration herein. Accordingly, a favorable action in the form of an early notice of allowance is respectfully requested. The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned for any reason that would advance the instant application to issue.

Dated this 22nd day of March, 2006.

Respectfully submitted:



Lawrence R. Youst
Reg. No. 38,795
Danamraj & Youst, P.C.
Premier Place, Suite 1450
5910 North Central Expressway
Dallas, Texas 75206
Tel 214.363.4266
Fax 214.363.8177